18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document

Hearing Date and Time: May 16, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) Objection Date and Time: April 19, 2019 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Ray C. Schrock, P.C.
Jacqueline Marcus
Garrett A. Fail
Sunny Singh

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re : Chapter 11

SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 18-23538 (RDD)

:

Debtors.¹ : (Jointly Administered)

:

MOTION OF DEBTORS PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121(d) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE TO FURTHER EXTEND EXCLUSIVE PERIODS

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are as follows: Sears Holdings Corporation (0798); Kmart Holding Corporation (3116); Kmart Operations LLC (6546); Sears Operations LLC (4331); Sears, Roebuck and Co. (0680); ServiceLive Inc. (6774); SHC Licensed Business LLC (3718); A&E Factory Service, LLC (6695); A&E Home Delivery, LLC (0205); A&E Lawn & Garden, LLC (5028); A&E Signature Service, LLC (0204); FBA Holdings Inc. (6537); Innovel Solutions, Inc. (7180); Kmart Corporation (9500); MaxServ, Inc. (7626); Private Brands, Ltd. (4022); Sears Development Co. (6028); Sears Holdings Management Corporation (2148); Sears Home & Business Franchises, Inc. (6742); Sears Home Improvement Products, Inc. (8591); Sears Insurance Services, L.L.C. (7182); Sears Procurement Services, Inc. (2859); Sears Protection Company (1250); Sears Protection Company (PR) Inc. (4861); Sears Roebuck Acceptance Corp. (0535); Sears, Roebuck de Puerto Rico, Inc. (3626); SYW Relay LLC (1870); Wally Labs LLC (None); SHC Promotions LLC (9626); Big Beaver of Florida Development, LLC (None); California Builder Appliances, Inc. (6327); Florida Builder Appliances, Inc. (9133); KBL Holding Inc. (1295); KLC, Inc. (0839); Kmart of Michigan, Inc. (1696); Kmart of Washington LLC (8898); Kmart Stores of Illinois LLC (8897); Kmart Stores of Texas LLC (8915); MyGofer LLC (5531); Sears Brands Business Unit Corporation (4658); Sears Holdings Publishing Company, LLC. (5554); Sears Protection Company (Florida), L.L.C. (4239); SHC Desert Springs, LLC (None); SOE, Inc. (9616); StarWest, LLC (5379); STI Merchandising, Inc. (0188); Troy Coolidge No. 13, LLC (None); BlueLight.com, Inc. (7034); Sears Brands, L.L.C. (4664); Sears Buying Services, Inc. (6533); Kmart.com LLC (9022); Sears Brands Management Corporation (5365); and SRe Holding Corporation (4816). The location of

the Debtors' corporate headquarters is 3333 Beverly Road, Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60179.

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Sears Holdings Corporation and its debtor affiliates, as debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Debtors" and, together with their non-debtor affiliates, "Sears" or the "Company"), file this motion (the "Motion") for entry of an order further extending the periods during which the Debtors have the exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan (the "Exclusive Filing Period") and to solicit acceptances thereof (the "Exclusive Solicitation Period," and together with the Exclusive Filing Period, the "Exclusive Periods") by two (2) months through and including June 12, 2019 and August 13, 2019, respectively. In support of the Motion, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

Preliminary Statement

Periods at this critical juncture of their chapter 11 cases in furtherance of their fiduciary duties and to continue to try to broker a consensual chapter 11 plan among their various stakeholders. Despite receiving only a two-month initial extension of the Exclusive Periods pursuant to the first exclusivity order entered on February 15, 2019 (ECF No. 2626) (the "First Exclusivity Order"), the Debtors have made significant progress on a chapter 11 plan. Since the First Exclusivity Order was entered, the Debtors have drafted a proposed chapter 11 plan and accompanying disclosure statement and distributed the plan to their key stakeholders, including the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee"), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Fund (the "PBGC") and Cyrus Capital Partners, L.P ("Cyrus"). The Debtors have been working cooperatively with these parties and have conducted numerous calls and inperson meetings to negotiate the terms of the plan. The Debtors and their advisors have also been working on an analysis of the Debtors' intercompany and administrative claims, in

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 3 of 20

consultation with their stakeholders, including the Creditors' Committee, which is crucial to the structure of the plan and related negotiations. The Debtors anticipate filing a chapter 11 plan prior to the omnibus hearing on April 18, 2019.

- 2. Unfortunately, just over a month after obtaining approval of the sale transaction (the "Sale Transaction") with Transform Holdco LLC (the "Buyer") for substantially all of the Debtors' assets, the Debtors have had to divert limited resources to take action to enforce the terms of the asset purchase agreement dated as of January 17, 2019 (as amended, "Asset Purchase Agreement") against the Buyer to ensure that assets belonging to the Debtors' estates are rightfully turned over to the Debtors. The Debtors have been pressing forward with their chapter 11 plan negotiations while simultaneously pursuing these assets. The outcome of this dispute will impact the analysis underpinning the plan, further bolstering the Debtors' need for a modest extension of the Exclusive Periods.
- 3. If the Exclusive Periods are not extended and control of the administration of the Debtors' estates is improperly wrested away now, the Debtors' chapter 11 cases would be unnecessarily disrupted and could cause further administrative expense burn. Such an outcome is contrary to the fundamental objectives of chapter 11 and should not be permitted. Termination of the Exclusive Periods at this critical juncture in these chapter 11 cases would defeat the very purpose of section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code—to afford the debtor a meaningful opportunity to propose a confirmable chapter 11 plan based on adequate information that maximizes value and that is fair and equitable to all of the Debtors' economic stakeholders.² Accordingly, for the

² To the extent the Court requires evidence in support of the Debtors' request, the Debtors intend to present declarations or offers of proof at or before the hearing on the Motion and will make any witnesses available for cross examination.

reasons set forth herein, the Debtors' request for an extension of the Exclusive Periods should be granted.

Background

- 4. Beginning on October 15, 2018 (the "Commencement Date") and continuing thereafter, each of the Debtors commenced with this Court a voluntary case under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). The Debtors are authorized to continue to operate their business and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 5. On October 24, 2018, the United States Trustee for Region 2 appointed an official committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee"). No trustee or examiner has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases.
- 6. The Debtors' chapter 11 cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").
- 7. On February 8, 2019, the Bankruptcy Court entered the *Order* (I) Approving the Asset Purchase Agreement Among Sellers and Buyer, (II) Authorizing the Sale of Certain of the Debtors' Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, Interests and Encumbrances, (III) Authorizing the Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts, and Leases in Connection Therewith and (IV) Granting Related Relief (ECF No. 2507), pursuant to which the Debtors sold substantially all their assets to the Buyer.
- 8. Additional information regarding the Debtors' business, capital structure, and the circumstances leading to the commencement of these chapter 11 cases is set forth in the Declaration of Robert A. Riecker Pursuant to Rule 1007-2 of Local Bankruptcy Rules for

Southern District of New York, sworn on October 15, 2018 (the "Riecker Declaration") (ECF No. 3).³

Jurisdiction

9. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Amended Standing Order of Reference M-431, dated January 31, 2012 (Preska, C.J.). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

Relief Requested

Bankruptcy Code, a two-month extension of (a) the Exclusive Filing Period through and including June 12, 2019 and (b) the Exclusive Solicitation Period through and including August 13, 2019, in each case, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek additional extensions of such periods.⁴ A proposed form of order granting the relief requested in the Motion is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "**Proposed Order**").

The Relief Requested Should Be Granted

11. Pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court may extend the Exclusive Periods for cause. See 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d) ("[O]n request of a party in interest

³ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the respective meanings ascribed to such terms in the Riecker Declaration.

⁴ The Exclusive Filing Period is set to expire on April 15, 2019, but Local Rule 9006-2 of the Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York (the "Local Rules") provides that if a motion to extend time to take an action is filed prior to the expiration of such period, with a return date that is no later than fourteen days after the date of the filing of the motion or, "if the Court is unable to schedule a return date within such period, as soon thereafter as the return date may be scheduled by the Court," the applicable deadline shall automatically be extended "until the Court resolves the motion to extend the time." In addition, the Amended Case Management Order (defined herein) provides that "if a motion to extend the time to take any action is filed . . . before the expiration of the period prescribed by the Bankruptcy Code, . . . the time shall automatically be extended until the Court acts on the motion, without the necessity . . . of a bridge order." (Amended Case Management Order, ¶ 25). By filing this Motion prior to the expiration of the Exclusive Filing Period, Local Rule 9006-2 and the Amended Case Management Order automatically extend the Exclusive Filing Period until the Court resolves the Motion.

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 6 of 20

made within the respective periods specified in subsections (b) and (c) of this section and after notice and a hearing, the court may for cause reduce or increase the 120-day period or the 180-day period referred to in this section."). However, the 120-day period "may not be extended beyond a date that is 18 months after the [petition] date" and the 180-day period "may not be extended beyond a date that is 20 months after the [petition] date." *Id.* §§ 1121(d)(2)(A), (B).

- 12. The Exclusive Periods established by Congress were incorporated in the Bankruptcy Code to afford a debtor a full and fair opportunity to propose a chapter 11 plan and enable solicitation of acceptances of the plan without the deterioration and disruption that might be caused by the filing of multiple competing plans. Indeed, the primary objective of a chapter 11 case is the formulation, confirmation, and consummation of a consensual chapter 11 plan. Terminating the Exclusive Periods in these chapter 11 cases when meaningful and substantive plan negotiations are in process would defeat the very purpose of section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code, *i.e.*, affording a debtor the full and fair opportunity to formulate and prosecute its proposed chapter 11 plan.
- Bankruptcy Court to extend the Exclusive Periods "for cause." The Bankruptcy Code neither defines the term "cause" for purposes of section 1121(d) nor establishes formal criteria for an extension. The legislative history of section 1121 indicates that "cause" is intended to be a flexible standard to balance the competing interests of a debtor and its creditors. *See* H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, at 231–32 (1978), *reprinted in* 1978 U.S.C.C.A.N. 5963 (noting that Congress intended to give Bankruptcy Courts great flexibility to protect a debtor's interests by allowing a debtor unimpeded opportunity to negotiate settlement of debts without interference from other parties in interest).

- 14. In exercising its broad discretion to determine whether "cause" exists, the Bankruptcy Court should be guided by a variety of factors. *See In re Borders Grp., Inc.*, 460 B.R. 818, 821–22 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2011) ("The determination of cause under section 1121(d) is a fact-specific inquiry and the court has broad discretion in extending or terminating exclusivity."); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, 352 B.R. 578, 587 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) (identifying objective factors courts historically have considered in determining whether cause exists to extend or terminate exclusivity); *see also In re McLean Indus., Inc.*, 87 B.R. 830, 834 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1987) (identifying factors used by courts to determine whether cause exists to extend exclusivity). Those factors include, without limitation:
 - i. the size and complexity of the debtor's case;
 - ii. the necessity for sufficient time to permit the debtor to negotiate a chapter 11 plan and prepare adequate information;
 - iii. the existence of good faith progress towards reorganization;
 - iv. the fact that the debtor is paying its bills as they become due;
 - v. whether the debtor has demonstrated reasonable prospects for filing a viable plan;
 - vi. whether the debtor has made progress in negotiations with its creditors;
 - vii. the amount of time which has elapsed in the case;
 - viii. whether the debtor is seeking an extension of exclusivity in order to pressure creditors to submit to the debtor's reorganization demands; and
 - ix. whether an unresolved contingency exists.

Adelphia Commc'ns, 352 B.R. at 587 (noting that the nine factors listed above are "objective factors which courts historically have considered in making determinations of this character"); see also Borders, 460 B.R. at 822 (evaluating the nine factors set forth in Adelphia to hold that debtor established cause to extend exclusivity); McLean Indus., 87 B.R. at 834; accord In re

Express One, 194 B.R. 98, 100 (Bankr. E.D. Tex. 1996) (identifying all of the nine factors as relevant in determining whether cause exists to extend exclusivity); In re United Press Int'l, Inc., 60 B.R. 265, 269 (Bankr. D.D.C. 1986) (holding that the debtor showed cause to extend exclusive period based upon certain of the nine factors). The above factors are not the exclusive bases for the exercise of the Court's discretion to extend the Exclusive Periods. See Adelphia Commc'ns, 352 B.R. at 586-87.

15. Application of the identified standards to the facts of these chapter 11 cases demonstrates that ample cause exists to grant the Debtors' requested extensions of the Exclusive Periods. The extensions are necessary and appropriate for the Debtors to have the opportunity contemplated by the Bankruptcy Code to propose a chapter 11 plan and solicit acceptances of such plan.

A. These Chapter 11 Cases Are Large and Complex.

- 16. It is well-established that the size and complexity of a debtor's case alone may constitute cause to extend the Exclusive Periods. *See In re Texaco Inc.*, 76 B.R. 322, 326 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1987) ("The large size of the debtor and the consequent difficulty in formulating a plan of reorganization for a huge debtor with a complex financial structure are important factors which generally constitute cause for extending the exclusivity periods.") (citing *Gaines v. Perkins* (*In re Perkins*), 71 B.R. 294, 298 (W.D. Tenn. 1987); *In re Pine Run Trust*, 67 B.R. 432, 435 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1986); *In re United Press International, Inc.*, 60 B.R. 265, 270 (Bankr. D.C. 1986); *In re American Federation of Television and Radio Artists*, 30 B.R. 772, 774 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1983)).
- 17. The legislative history provides that "if an unusually large company were to seek reorganization under chapter 11, the court would probably need to extend the time in

order to allow the debtor to reach an agreement." H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, at 232 (1978), reprinted in 1978 U.S.C.C.A.N. 5963. Similarly, this Court has stated: "The large size of a debtor and the consequent difficulty in formulating a plan . . . for a huge debtor with a complex financial structure are important factors which generally constitute cause for extending the exclusivity periods." *Texaco*, 76 B.R. at 326.

- 18. The size and complexity of, and the legal issues involved in, these chapter 11 cases alone warrant the requested extension of the Exclusive Periods. As of the Commencement Date, the Debtors operated a massively complex and interconnected enterprise. Not surprisingly, the Asset Purchase Agreement entered into with the Buyer is a multifaceted and complicated agreement. Since approval of the Sale Transaction, the Debtors have been working cooperatively with the Buyer to transition the company from the Debtors' estates to the Buyer and on various post-closing matters, such as implementing the assumption and rejection of various contracts and leases identified by the Buyer. This process has been complicated and time-consuming as the Debtors are working with the Buyer to ensure the least amount of disruption to the Debtors' employees and the business during this transition period.
- 19. The Debtors have also brought a motion to enforce the Asset Purchase Agreement against the Buyer to the Court regarding certain disputes under the Asset Purchase Agreement. The Debtors are in negotiations with the Buyer with respect to these issues, which will impact the analysis underlying the plan. If these disputes cannot be consensually resolved, the Debtors will need a prompt Court determination.
- 20. The Debtors have more than \$11 billion of total prepetition liabilities and have an immensely complex capital structure. As of the Commencement Date, the Debtors were indebted under eleven (11) separate facilities with seven (7) different agents or trustees, certain

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 10 of 20

intercompany notes, and certain other loans, which, adding to the complexity, were secured by siloed collateral, with certain facilities secured by real estate assets and others secured by other assets, such as accounts receivable and inventory. As a result, the administrative claims and intercompany analysis that the Debtors are in the process of completing for the plan is complex and time consuming, further warranting an extension of the Exclusive Periods. For example, there are over \$100 billion in prepetition intercompany transactions and billions in postpetition transactions involving over 70,000 accounting entries to the Debtors' ledgers for the postpetition period.

- 21. In addition, the Subcommittee and its advisors have continued to conduct its investigation into potential claims and causes of action relating to prepetition related party transactions. The Subcommittee continues to pursue the discovery process relating to the subjects of its investigation. The outcome of the Subcommittee's investigation, and any recovery from claims that may be pursued, will impact stakeholder recoveries under the Plan. The ongoing nature of the Subcommittee's investigation and the effect of the investigation on the Debtors' estates further supports the Debtors' request for a brief extension of the Exclusive Periods.
- 22. In cases of the size and complexity of the Debtors, 180 days to formulate, achieve consensus on, and prosecute a chapter 11 plan simply is inadequate. Thus, as in other large and complex cases, the initial Exclusive Periods do not provide remotely sufficient time to pursue the objectives of chapter 11. The instant chapter 11 cases are indisputably of the size and complexity that Congress and courts have recognized warrant extensions of the Exclusive Periods as requested.

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 11 of 20

B. (i) There Has Not Been Sufficient Time to Permit the Debtors to Negotiate and Prosecute a Chapter 11 Plan and (ii) Substantial Good Faith Progress Has Been Made to Achieve the Objectives of Chapter 11.

23. This is the Debtors' second motion for extension of the Exclusive Periods, and only for two months. The Debtors are not even six months into these chapter 11 cases, which is not enough time to formulate and prosecute a chapter 11 plan in most chapter 11 cases, and certainly not enough time here considering the immense size and complexity of these cases as described above. Notwithstanding the short duration of these cases thus far, as noted above, the Debtors have made substantial progress to date. The Debtors have drafted a chapter 11 plan and distributed the plan to key stakeholders. Indeed, the Debtors are requesting only a two-month extension because they are confident that they will be able to file a chapter 11 plan in short order.

24. The Debtors have continued to make progress in their chapter 11 cases since the last extension of the Exclusive Periods was granted. Among other things, the Debtors have drafted an agreement memorializing the terms of a settlement with the PBGC that will be incorporated into the Debtors' chapter 11 plan. The draft settlement agreement has been sent to the PBGC and the UCC for review and comment. Recently, the PBGC agreed to have its settlement incorporated into the plan rather than heard separately, which the Debtors view as a positive development. The Debtors have also obtained approval of the bar date order (ECF No. 2676), which, among other things established April 10, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) as the deadline for certain persons and entities to file proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases and established procedures for the resolution of claims under section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code.

25. Notwithstanding the significant progress that has been made to date, the administration of these chapter 11 cases and the negotiation, filing, and prosecution of a chapter

11 plan necessarily will require additional time and effort. Under these circumstances, the requested extensions of the Exclusive Periods are necessary and appropriate to afford the Debtors the opportunity to achieve the objectives of chapter 11 as contemplated by section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code.

C. The Debtors have Demonstrated Reasonable Prospects for Filing and Confirming a Viable Plan.

26. The first phase of these chapter 11 cases has centered on stabilizing the Debtors' businesses and pursuing the sale process. After obtaining approval of and closing the Sale Transaction, the Debtors immediately turned to the chapter 11 plan process (while continuing to work through post-closing matters). The Debtors have drafted a chapter 11 plan and are negotiating the terms of the plan with their key stakeholders. Once the plan is finalized and filed, the Debtors are prepared to quickly proceed with the plan solicitation and confirmation process. Given the Debtors' track record to date in these cases, the Debtors have demonstrated reasonable prospects for filing a viable chapter 11 plan.

D. The Debtors Are Not Seeking to Use Exclusivity to Pressure Creditors to Submit to the <u>Debtors' Demands.</u>

27. This is the Debtors' second request for a modest extension of the Exclusive Periods of just two months. The Debtors' conduct in these chapter 11 cases, including, in particular, consultation with their key stakeholders virtually every step of the way, demonstrates that the Debtors are acting in a prudent and transparent manner and are not seeking these extensions to artificially delay the administration of these chapter 11 cases or to hold creditors hostage to an unsatisfactory plan proposal. Simply put, there has not been a real opportunity for the Debtors to fully negotiate and file a plan during the short period of time since the Commencement Date. The size and complexities of these chapter 11 cases are patent and,

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 13 of 20

together with what has transpired to date, establish more than adequate cause for the requested extensions.

- 28. The Debtors' relationship with their key economic stakeholders, including the Creditors' Committee and their professionals, is transparent, cooperative, and constructive. The Debtors have held numerous meetings and negotiation sessions with their creditor constituencies to discuss virtually every issue in these cases, including the sale process, the GOB process, the DIP Financing, the sales of discrete assets, and the chapter 11 plan. The request for extending the Exclusive Periods is not a negotiation tactic, but rather reflects that these cases are not yet sufficiently mature for the filing and prosecution of a feasible and, hopefully, consensual chapter 11 plan.
- 29. Finally, this Motion is without prejudice to any party seeking to shorten the Exclusive Periods pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d). As such, no party in interest will be prejudiced if the requested extensions are approved.

E. Important Contingencies Must be Resolved by the Debtors.

30. Courts have recognized the need to resolve important contingencies as justification for extending the Exclusive Periods. *See*, *e.g.*, *Borders*, 460 B.R. at 826; *Adelphia Commc'ns*, 352 B.R. at 587. Notwithstanding the significant progress that has been made by the Debtors to date, in particular relating to the global sale process, open issues still remain. The Debtors need additional time to (i) complete negotiations with key economic stakeholders with respect to the terms of the Debtors' proposed plan, (ii) resolve the outstanding disputes with the Buyer with respect to the Asset Purchase Agreement, and (iii) resolve the matters being investigated by the Restructuring Subcommittee.

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 14 of 20

31. In addition, reconciling and resolving claims that have been, and will be, filed against the Debtors' estates will be a complicated, time-consuming process. On January 18, 2019, the Debtors filed their respective schedules of assets and liabilities, schedules of executory contracts and unexpired leases, and statements of financial affairs (collectively, the "Schedules"), comprising approximately 23,000 pages. To date, over 10,000 proofs of claim are already on file. The size and complexity of the Debtors' claims reconciliation process mirrors the size and complexity of similarly situated chapter 11 cases, and warrants a further extension of the Exclusive Periods.

32. The extension of the Exclusive Periods as requested will not prejudice any party in interest, but rather will afford the Debtors a realistic opportunity to propose a feasible and, hopefully, consensual chapter 11 plan. Failure to extend the Exclusive Periods as requested herein would defeat the very purpose of section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code, *i.e.*, to provide the Debtors with a meaningful and reasonable opportunity to propose a confirmable chapter 11 plan. The termination of Exclusive Periods would lead to unnecessary adversarial situations and the litigation costs attendant therewith to the detriment of all parties in interest.

F. The Debtors Are Making Required Postpetition Administrative Expense.

33. Courts considering an extension of exclusivity also may assess a debtor's liquidity and ability to pay costs and expenses of administration. *Adelphia Commc'ns*, 352 B.R. at 587; *Texaco*, 76 B.R. at 322. Here, the Debtors have the liquidity, are paying their administrative expenses that are obligations of the Debtors' estates as they come due, and will continue to do so.

G. Relatively Little Time Has Elapsed Since These Chapter 11 Cases Were Commenced.

This is the Debtors' second request for an extension of the Exclusive Periods. This Court has routinely granted requests by debtors to extend their exclusive period to file and solicit a chapter 11 plan by four months in the first instance. *See In re Breitburn Energy Partners LP, et al.*, No. 16-11390 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 14, 2016) (ECF No. 844) (extending the exclusivity periods by 120-days); *In re The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., et al.*, No. 15-23007 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 13, 2015) (ECF No. 1838) (same). For all of the reasons set forth above, the facts and circumstances of this case demonstrate that sufficient cause exists to grant the requested relief at this time.

Conclusion

35. For the reasons stated herein, the requested extension of the Exclusive Periods is warranted and necessary to afford the Debtors a meaningful opportunity to pursue the chapter 11 reorganization process and build a consensus among their economic stakeholders, all as contemplated by section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, the Debtors should be afforded a full, fair, and reasonable opportunity to propose, file, and solicit acceptances of a chapter 11 plan, and the Exclusive Periods should be extended as requested.

Notice

- 36. Notice of this Motion will be provided in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Amended Order Implementing Certain Notice and Case Management Procedures*, entered on November 1, 2018 (ECF No. 405) (the "**Amended Case Management Order**"). The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.
- 37. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: April 12, 2019

New York, New York

/s/ Sunny Singh

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 Ray C. Schrock, P.C. Jacqueline Marcus Garrett A. Fail Sunny Singh

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

Exhibit A

Proposed Order

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
	х		
	:		
In re	:	Chapter 11	
	:		
SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Case No. 18-23538 (RDD)	
	_		

:

Debtors.1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121(d) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FURTHER EXTENDING THE EXCLUSIVE PERIODS

(Jointly Administered)

Upon the motion, dated April 12, 2019 (ECF No. [_]) (the "Motion")² of Sears Holdings Corporation and its debtor affiliates, as debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), pursuant to section 1121(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), for an order (i) extending the Debtors' exclusive periods in which to file a chapter 11 plan (the "Exclusive Filing Period") and solicit acceptances thereof (the "Exclusive Solicitation Period," and together with the

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are as follows: Sears Holdings Corporation (0798); Kmart Holding Corporation (3116); Kmart Operations LLC (6546); Sears Operations LLC (4331); Sears, Roebuck and Co. (0680); ServiceLive Inc. (6774); SHC Licensed Business LLC (3718); A&E Factory Service, LLC (6695); A&E Home Delivery, LLC (0205); A&E Lawn & Garden, LLC (5028); A&E Signature Service, LLC (0204); FBA Holdings Inc. (6537); Innovel Solutions, Inc. (7180); Kmart Corporation (9500); MaxServ, Inc. (7626); Private Brands, Ltd. (4022); Sears Development Co. (6028); Sears Holdings Management Corporation (2148); Sears Home & Business Franchises, Inc. (6742); Sears Home Improvement Products, Inc. (8591); Sears Insurance Services, L.L.C. (7182); Sears Procurement Services, Inc. (2859); Sears Protection Company (1250); Sears Protection Company (PR) Inc. (4861); Sears Roebuck Acceptance Corp. (0535); Sears, Roebuck de Puerto Rico, Inc. (3626); SYW Relay LLC (1870); Wally Labs LLC (None); SHC Promotions LLC (9626); Big Beaver of Florida Development, LLC (None); California Builder Appliances, Inc. (6327); Florida Builder Appliances, Inc. (9133); KBL Holding Inc. (1295); KLC, Inc. (0839); Kmart of Michigan, Inc. (1696); Kmart of Washington LLC (8898); Kmart Stores of Illinois LLC (8897); Kmart Stores of Texas LLC (8915); MyGofer LLC (5531); Sears Brands Business Unit Corporation (4658); Sears Holdings Publishing Company, LLC. (5554); Sears Protection Company (Florida), L.L.C. (4239); SHC Desert Springs, LLC (None); SOE, Inc. (9616); StarWest, LLC (5379); STI Merchandising, Inc. (0188); Troy Coolidge No. 13, LLC (None); BlueLight.com, Inc. (7034); Sears Brands, L.L.C. (4664); Sears Buying Services, Inc. (6533); Kmart.com LLC (9022); Sears Brands Management Corporation (5365); and SRe Holding Corporation (4816). The location of the Debtors' corporate headquarters is 3333 Beverly Road, Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60179.

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the respective meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

Exclusive Filing Period, the "Exclusive Periods"), and (ii) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b) and the Amended Standing Order of Reference M-431, dated January 31, 2012 (Preska, C.J.); and consideration of the Motion and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the relief requested in the Motion having been provided in accordance with the Amended Case Management Order; such notice having been adequate and appropriate under the circumstances, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having held a hearing to consider the relief requested in the Motion on April 18, 2019 (the "Hearing"); and upon the record of the Hearing, and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT

- 1. The Motion is granted to the extent set forth herein.
- 2. Pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors' Exclusive Filing Period in which to file a chapter 11 plan is extended through and including June 12, 2019.
- 3. Pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors' Exclusive Solicitation Period in which to solicit acceptances of their chapter 11 plan is extended through and including August 13, 2019.

18-23538-rdd Doc 3183 Filed 04/12/19 Entered 04/12/19 17:16:48 Main Document Pg 20 of 20

4. The extensions of the Exclusive Periods granted herein are without

prejudice to such further requests that may be made pursuant to section 1121(d) of the

Bankruptcy Code by the Debtors or any party in interest.

5. Nothing herein shall create, nor is intended to create, any rights in favor of

or enhance the status of any claim held by any party.

6. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the

relief granted in this Order.

7. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising

from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and/or enforcement of this Order.

Dated:		, 2019
	White Plains, New Yorl	k

THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE